

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/090,987	Applicant(s) PHILIPS ET AL.	
	Examiner Cephia D. Toomer	Art Unit 1714	

All Participants:

(1) Cephia D. Toomer.

(2) James Kasischke.

Date of Interview: 10 January 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4 and 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed upon that the term -- A-- should be inserted at the beginning of claim 4 and that in claim 5, the term "carrier" should be replaced with the term -- aqueous --.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)